



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/132,327	08/11/1998	MICHEL SAFARS	USB97-SVN-OM	9217
22511	7590	10/11/2007	EXAMINER	
OSHA LIANG L.L.P. 1221 MCKINNEY STREET SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			PAULA, CESAR B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/11/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com
buta@oshaliang.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/132,327	SAFARS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CESAR B. PAULA	2178	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CESAR B. PAULA.

(3) MICHEL SAFARS.

(2) ALY Z. DOSSA.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 79, 82-83, AND 86.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: DISCUSSED DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PRIOR ART OF RECORD AND A PROPOSED CLAIM AMENDMENT.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


CESAR PAULA

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required



OSHA • LIANG LLP

www.oshaliang.com

Houston - Silicon Valley - Paris

One Houston Center • Suite 2800
1221 McKinney Street
Houston, Texas 77010
Tel: 713.228.8600
Fax: 713.228.8778

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

DATE: October 3, 2007

FILE NUMBER: 17363/002001

TO: Examiner Cesar Paula

FAX NUMBER: (571) 273-4128

FROM: Aly Z. Dossa

PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 3

RE: U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/132,327

☐ URGENT ☐ FOR REVIEW ☐ PLEASE COMMENT ☐ PLEASE REPLY ☐ PLEASE RECYCLE

NOTES/COMMENTS:

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This document (including any attachments) may contain privileged or confidential information. In the event that this document has been sent to you in error, or otherwise has been misdirected, please call the sender COLLECT at 713.228.8600 to arrange for its prompt return or destruction. Your cooperation is greatly appreciated.

PTOL-413A (07-07)

Approved for use through 09/30/2007. OMB 0851-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/132,327-Conf. #9217 First Named Applicant: Michel Safars
 Examiner: C. B. Paula Art Unit: 2178 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Examiner Paula (2) Michel Safars
 (3) Aly Z. Dossa (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: October 4, 2007 Proposed Time: 3:00pm (AM/PM)
EST

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☐ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☒ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

An Interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.


 Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature


 Examiner/SPE Signature

Aly Z. Dossa
 Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

L0031
 Registration Number, if applicable

Proposed Interview Agenda for U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/132,327

1. Briefly discuss invention
2. Discuss pending claims (overview)
3. Discuss the following limitations and the corresponding prior art:
 - a. "obtaining a first additional electronic document, wherein the first additional electronic document comprises a computer program configured to, when executed, modify the first hierarchy to obtain a second hierarchy" (claim 79; teachings of Ikeno)
 - b. "rearranging the first plurality of documents within the book metaphor in accordance with the second hierarchy, wherein the second hierarchy defines a location of each of the first plurality of electronic documents within the book metaphor" (claim 79; teachings of Ikeno)
 - c. "wherein the usage information corresponds to usage statistics associated with at least one user's usage of the first plurality of electronic documents" (claim 82; teachings of Lemay)
 - d. "generate a report based on the analysis of the usage information" (claim 83; teachings of Lemay)
 - e. "generate a summary of content located in at least one of the first plurality of electronic documents, create a summary electronic document comprising the summary, determine a location within the second hierarchy in which to insert the summary electronic document, and insert the summary electronic document into the second hierarchy using the location" (claim 86; teachings of Lemay)